arXiv:1005.0457v1 [math.AC] 4 May 2010

THE STRATUM OF A STRONGLY STABLE IDEAL

MARGHERITA ROGGERO

Abstract. Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P} = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ and let $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ be the family of all the homogeneous ideals in $\mathcal{P}$ such that the set $\mathcal{N}(J)$ of all the monomials that do not belong to J is a k-vector basis of the quotient $\mathcal{P}/I$. We show that $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ if and only if it is generated by a special set of polynomials G, the *J-basis* of I, that in some sense generalizes the notions of Gröbner and border basis (Theorem 10 and Corollary 12). Though not every J-basis is a Gröbner basis with respect to some term ordering (Example 20), we define two Noetherian algorithms of reduction with respect to G, the G^*-reduction (Definition 9) and the G^{**}-reduction (Definition 15) and prove that J-bases can be characterized through a Buchberger-like criterion on the G^{**}-reductions of S-polynomials (Theorem 17). Using J-bases, we prove that $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ can be endowed, in a very natural way, of a structure of affine scheme, and that it turns out to be homogeneous with respect to a non-standard grading over the additive group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ (Theorem 22).

1. Introduction

Let J be any monomial ideal in the polynomial ring $\mathcal{P} := k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{N}(J)$ the sous-escalier of J that is the set of monomials in $\mathcal{P}$ that do not belong to J. In this paper we consider the family of all ideals I in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P} = I \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}(J) \rangle$ as a k-vector space or, equivalently, such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a k-basis for the quotient $\mathcal{P}/I$. In this paper we investigate under which conditions this family is in some natural way an algebraic scheme.

If $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a finite set, there is an evident close connection with the theory of border bases (see [8], [10]). However, if $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is not finite, the family of such ideals can be too large. For instance if $J = (X_0) \subset k[X_0, X_1]$, the family of all ideals I such that $\mathcal{P}/I$ is generated by $\mathcal{N}(J) = \{X_1^n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ depends on infinitely many parameters. For this reason we restrict ourselves to the homogeneous case. So, let us denote by $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ the family of all *homogeneous* ideals I in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P} = I \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}(J) \rangle$, so that every polynomial has a unique *J-normal form modulo I* as a sum of monomials in $\mathcal{N}(J)$.

Of course, $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ contains every ideal I such that its initial ideal $\mathrm{In}_\prec(I)$ with respect to some term order $\prec$ is J, but it can also contain ideals I such that $\mathrm{In}_\prec(I) \neq J$ for every term order $\prec$ (see for instance Example 6).

Let I be an ideal in $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$. Using the terminology of [2] and [13], I contains a unique set of *marked polynomials* $G = \{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ /\ X^\alpha \in B_J\}$ where every polynomial f_α is given by an *initial term* (or *head*), X^α which belongs to the monomial basis B_J of J, and *a tail* $\sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma$, which is a sum of monomials in $\mathcal{N}(J)$ with constant coefficients $c_{\alpha\gamma} \in k$ ($c_{\alpha\gamma} \neq 0$ only if $\deg(X^\gamma) = \deg(X^\alpha)$). If $J = \mathrm{In}_\prec(I)$ for some term order $\prec$, then G is indeed the reduced Gröbner basis of I, hence it satisfies many interesting properties: it is a set of generators for I, it can be obtained using Buchberger algorithm, the *G-reduction relation* that uses the polynomials in G as "rewriting lows" is Noetherian, and so on.

Unfortunately, if $\mathrm{In}_\prec(I) \neq J$ for every term order $\prec$, the set of polynomials G has not in general the good properties of Gröbner bases. For instance G does not need to be a set of generators for I (see Example 3). Moreover, though by definition every polynomial in $\mathcal{P}$ has a J-normal form modulo I, we cannot in general obtain it through a G-reduction, because every sequence of G- reductions on some element can lead to an infinite loop (see Example 8).

We can recover in G most of the good properties of Gröbner bases when J is strongly stable. For this reason we assume that J is a strongly stable monomial ideal in all our statements. As well known, in characteristic 0, this condition is equivalent to say that J is Borel fixed. Note that, even under

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13PXX, 14C05.

Key words and phrases. Strongly stable ideal, Borel ideal, marked set, Gröbner basis, Buchberger criterion.

Written with the support of the University Ministry funds.

this assumption, G does not need to be a marked Gröbner basis that is a Gröbner basis with respect to some term ordering (see [7] or [2, page 428]). Hence not every sequence of G-reductions on a polynomial terminates giving a J-normal form (see Example 20 and [13, Theorem 3.12]). However, we prove that for every polynomial h in $\mathcal{P}$ there is also some G-reduction giving in a finite number of steps the J-normal form modulo I of h (Corollary 11) and we exhibit two Noetherian algorithms of reduction, the G^*-*reduction* (Definition 9) and the G^{**}-*reduction* (Definition 15), giving the J-normal form modulo I of every polynomial.

Using the above quoted procedures of reduction, we are able to prove for every strongly stable monomial ideal J that $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ if and only if I is generated by the only set of marked polynomials $G = \{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ / \ X^\alpha \in B_J\}$ it contains (Corollary 12). In this case, by analogy with Gröbner basis, we call G the *J-basis of I*. We also prove that one can check whether a set of marked polynomials G as above is a J-basis, namely whether it generates an ideal $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, through a Buchberger-like criterion, that is only looking at the S-polynomials of elements in G and to their G^{**}-reductions (Theorem 17).

The above quoted results allow us to extend to the family $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ some properties of the *Gröbner stratum* $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$, that is the family of all the ideals I such that $\mathrm{In}_\prec(I) = J$. In the last years several authors have been working on $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$, proving that they have a natural and well defined structure of algebraic schemes, that springs out of a procedure based on Buchberger's algorithm (see [1], [9], [12], [4]) and that they are homogeneous with respect to a non standard positive grading over $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ (see [12]).

Following the line of the construction of $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$, in the last section of the paper we consider for a strongly stable ideal J the set of homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{G} = \{F_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum C_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ / \ X^\alpha \in B_J, X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)_{|\alpha|}\}$ where the coefficients $C_{\alpha\gamma}$ are new variables. Imposing the above quoted Buchberger criterion on the $\mathcal{G}^{**}$-reductions of S-polynomials of elements in $\mathcal{G}$, we obtain some polynomial conditions in the variables $C = \{C_{\alpha\gamma}\}$. The ideal in $k[C]$ generated by these polynomials realizes $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ as an affine scheme. A possible objection to our construction is that it depends on the procedure of reduction, which is not in general unique, and so a priori the result is not well defined. For this reason we first give an intrinsic definition of $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ as the affine scheme given by the ideal generated by minors of some matrices, and then we show the equivalence with the one obtained through the Buchberger criterion (Theorem 22).

By the analogy with Gröbner strata, we call $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ the *stratum* of J. As we adopted in the two cases a similar construction, we can easily prove that for every fixed term ordering $\prec$, the Gröbner stratum $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ is (scheme-theoretically) the section of $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ with a suitable linear space.

Going on the analogy, we consider the homogeneous structure and show that also $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is homogeneous with respect to a grading over $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ (Theorem 22). However, though the grading on $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ is positive, this property does not hold true in general for $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, so that we cannot extend to it some useful properties of Gröbner strata. Especially, $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ does not need to be isomorphic to an affine space when the point corresponding to J is smooth.

In the final Example 23 we give an explicit computation of a stratum $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ which is scheme-theoretically isomorphic to an open subset of the Hilbert scheme of 8 points in $\mathbf{P}^2$ (see [11]). We show that it strictly contains the family of marked Gröbner bases, that is the union of the Gröbner strata $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ for every term order $\prec$, and that it is not isomorphic to an affine space, even though the point corresponding to J is smooth.

2. NOTATION

Throughout the paper, we will consider the following general notation.

We work on an algebraically closed ground field k of any characteristic. $\mathcal{P} = k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ is the polynomial ring in the set of variables $X_0, \dots, X_n$ that we will often denote by the compact notation X. We will denote by X^α any monomial in $\mathcal{P}$, where α represents a multi-index $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$, that is $X^\alpha = X_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$. $X^\alpha \mid X^\gamma$ means that X^α divides X^γ, that is there exists a monomial X^β such that $X^\alpha \cdot X^\beta = X^\gamma$.

Every ideal in $\mathcal{P}$ will be homogeneous. If J is a monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$, B_J will denote its monomials basis and $\mathcal{N}(J)$ its *sous-escalier* that is the set of monomials that do not belong to J. We will denote by J_m and $\mathcal{N}(J)_m$ respectively the elements of degree m in either set.

We fix the following order on the set of variables $X_0 < X_1 < \cdots < X_n$. For every monomial $X^\alpha \neq 1$ we set $\min(X^\alpha) = \min\{X_i \,:\, X_i \mid X^\alpha\}$ and $\max(X^\alpha) = \max\{X_i \,:\, X_i \mid X^\alpha\}$.

A monomial X^β can be obtained by a monomial X^α through an *elementary move* if $X^\beta X_i = X^\alpha X_j$ for some variables $X_i \neq X_j$ or equivalently if there is a monomial X^δ such that $X^\alpha = X^\delta X_i$ and $X^\beta = X^\delta X_j$. We will say that the elementary move from X^α to X^β is *down* if $X_i > X_j$ and *up* if $X_i < X_j$.

The transitive closure of the elementary moves gives a quasi-order on the set of monomials of any fixed degree that we will denote by $\prec_B$: $X^\alpha \prec_B X^\beta$ if and only if we can pass from X^α to X^β with a sequence of up elementary moves. Note that $\prec_B$ agrees with every term ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{P}$ such that $X_0 \prec \cdots \prec X_n$, that is: $X^\alpha \prec_B X^\beta \Rightarrow X^\alpha \prec X^\beta$.

A monomial ideal $J \subset \mathcal{P}$ is *strongly stable* if and only if it contains every monomial X^α such that $X^\alpha \succ_B X^\beta$ and $X^\beta \in J$. If $ch(k) = 0$ this is equivalent to say that J is Borel-fixed, that is fixed under the action of the Borel subgroup of lower-triangular invertible matrices (see [3], §15.9, or [5]).

3. Generators of the quotient $\mathcal{P}/I$ and generators of I

The aim of this paper is to generalize the construction of the homogeneous Gröbner stratum $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ of a monomial ideal J in $\mathcal{P}$ (see [1], [9], [12], [4], [6]). Roughly speaking, $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ is an affine scheme that parametrizes all the homogeneous ideals $I \subset \mathcal{P}$ whose initial ideal with respect to a fixed term ordering $\preceq$ is J. The main tools used in the construction of this family are reduced Gröbner bases and Buchberger algorithm.

If B_J is the monomial basis of J, an ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ if and only if its reduced Gröbner basis is of the type $\{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \;/\; X^\alpha \in B_J\}$ where $c_{\alpha\gamma} \in k$, $X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)$ and $X^\gamma \prec X^\alpha$ (of the same degree). Due to the good properties of Gröbner bases, we can also observe that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}/I$ as a k-vector space.

In this section we start from the last property and explore the possibility of generalizing the above construction not making use of any term ordering in $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 1. For every monomial ideal J in $\mathcal{P}$, the *stratum* of J is the family, that we will denote by $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, of all homogeneous ideals I such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of the quotient S/I as a k-vector space.

As a direct consequence of the definition, if $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, then we can associate to every polynomial f in $\mathcal{P}$ a *J-normal form* modulo I, namely a polynomial $g = \sum d_\gamma X^\gamma$ with $X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)$ and $d_\gamma \in k$ such that $f - g \in I$. Note that if f is homogeneous, then also its J-normal form is, because I is homogeneous and $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of the quotient.

Especially, we can consider the J-normal forms $\sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma$ modulo I of any monomial $X^\alpha \in B_J$ and the set of homogeneous polynomials $G := \{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \;/\; X^\alpha \in B_J\} \subset I$, that looks like (but does not need to be) a Gröbner basis. Following the terminology of [13], we can consider G as a set of *marked polynomials*, fixing X^α as $\mathrm{In}(f_\alpha)$. We will call *tail* of f_α the difference $X^\alpha - f_\alpha = \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma$.

It is a natural question to ask whether any ideal I, containing a set of polynomials G as above, needs to belong to $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$. This motivates the following:

Definition 2. Let J be a monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$ with monomial basis B_J. We will call *J-set of marked polynomials* or simply *J-set* any set of homogeneous polynomials of the type:

$$G = \left\{ f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \;/\; X^\alpha \in B_J \right\} \text{ with } \; X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J),\; c_{\alpha\gamma} \in k. \tag{1}$$

Moreover we will say that G is a *J-basis* if $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}/(G)$ as a k-vector space.

It is quite obvious from the definition, that the ideal generated by a J-basis has the same Hilbert function than J.

The following examples shows that not every J-set is also a J-basis and that, more generally, J-sets or even J-bases do not have the good properties of Gröbner bases. Moreover, we will see that not every J-basis, as a marked set, is also a Gröbner basis with respect to some term ordering, even if J is a strongly stable monomial ideal.

Example 3. In $k[x,y,z]$ let $J=(xy,z^2)$ and $I=(f_1=xy+yz, f_2=z^2+xz)$. The ideal I is generated by a J-set. However J defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in $\mathbf{P}^2$, while I defines a 1-dimensional subscheme (because it contains the line $x+z=0$). Therefore, $\{f_1,f_2\}$ is not a J-basis because I and J do not have the same Hilbert function.

Even when the ideal I is generated by a J-set and I and J share the same Hilbert function, the J-set is not necessarily a J-basis, that is $\mathcal{N}(J)$ does not need to be a basis for $\mathcal{P}/I$ as a k-vector space.

Example 4. In $k[x,y,z]$, let $J=(xy,z^2)$ and let I be the ideal generated by the J-set $\{g_1=xy+x^2-yz\ ,\ g_2=z^2+y^2-xz\}$. It is easy to verify that both J and I are complete intersections of two quadrics and then they have the same Hilbert function. However, $I\notin B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ because $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is not free in $k[x,y,z]/I$: in fact $zg_1+yg_2=x^2z+y^3\in I$ is a sum of monomials in $\mathcal{N}(J)$. Hence $\{g_1,g_2\}$ is not a J-basis.

When the monomial ideal J is the initial ideal of I with respect to a term ordering, then J is a basis of $\mathcal{P}/I$ as a k-vectors space and the reduced Gröbner basis of I is indeed the J-set contained in I and it is also J-basis for I. Hence I has a J-basis which acts also as a set of generators. However in general the converse of these properties does not hold. In fact even if $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a k-basis for $\mathcal{P}/I$, the J-set G contained in I does not need to be a J-basis because does not need to be a set of generators for I. Furthermore, not every J-basis as a marked set is a Gröbner basis with respect to a suitable term ordering.

Example 5. In $k[x,y,z]$ let $J=(xy,z^2)$ and $I=(f_1=xy+yz, f_2=z^2+xz, f_3=xyz)$. Both I and J define 0 dimensional subschemes in $\mathbf{P}^2$ of degree 4 and in fact $I\in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$. In order to verify that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis for $k[x,y,z]/I$ it is sufficient to show that, for every $m\geq 2$, the k-vector space $V_m=I_m+\mathcal{N}(J)_m=I_m+\langle x^m,y^m,x^{m-1}z,y^{m-1}z\rangle$ is equal to $k[x,y,z]_m$. For $m=2$, this is obvious. Then, assume $m\geq 3$. First of all we observe that $yz^2=zf_1-f_3\in I$: then V_m contains all the monomials $y^{m-i}z^i$. Moreover $x^2y=xf_1-f_3\in I$ and $xy^{m-1}=y^{m-2}f_1-zy^{m-1}\in V_m$: then V_m contains all the monomials $x^{m-i}y^i$. Finally, we can see by induction on i that all the monomials x^iz^{m-i} belong to V_m. In fact as already proved, $z^m\in V_m$, hence $x^{i-1}z^{m-i+1}\in V_m$ implies $x^iz^{m-i}=x^{i-1}z^{m-i-1}f_2-x^{i-1}z^{m-i+1}\in V_m$.

However the J-set $\{f_1,f_2\}$ is not a J-basis because it does not generate I (see Example 3).

Example 6. Let us consider in $k[x,y,z]$ the strongly stable monomial ideal J generated by the set B_J of all the degree 5 monomials in (x^3,x^2y,xy^2,y^5) and the ideal I generated by the marked set $G=B_J\cup\{f\}\setminus\{xy^2z^2\}$, where $f=xy^2z^2-y^4z-x^2z^3$ and $\mathrm{In}(f)=xy^2z^2$. As J is strongly stable, the results obtained in following sections will allow us to show that G is the J-basis of I (see Example 20). However, the marked set G is not a Gröbner basis of I with respect to any term ordering $\prec$, because $xy^2z^2\succ y^4z$ and $xy^2z^2\succ x^2z^3$ would be in contradiction with the equality $(xy^2z^2)^2=x^2z^3\cdot y^4z$.

4. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF J-BASES

From now on, J will always denote a strongly stable monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$.

Under this assumption we will see that we can recover in J-bases most of the good properties of Gröbner bases (though the two notions are not equivalent neither under this stronger condition on J, as shown by the above Example 6). First of all we consider properties that concern the reduction relation.

Definition 7. Let G be a set of marked polynomials

$$G=\{f_\alpha=X^\alpha-\sum c_{\alpha\gamma}X^\gamma\ /\ \mathrm{In}(f_\alpha)=X^\alpha\}. \tag{2}$$

A *G-reduction* of a polynomial h, denoted by $h\xrightarrow{G}h_0$ is a sequence:

$$h=h_0\xrightarrow{G}h_1\xrightarrow{G}\cdots\xrightarrow{G}h_i\xrightarrow{G}h_{i+1}\xrightarrow{G}\cdots\xrightarrow{G}h_s$$

where $h_i\in\mathcal{P}$ and each step $h_i\xrightarrow{G}h_{i+1}$ is obtained rewriting a monomial $X^\beta X^\alpha$ ($X^\alpha=\mathrm{In}(f_\alpha)$) that appears in h_i by $X^\beta\left(\sum c_{\alpha\gamma}X^\gamma\right)$. We say that such a sequence is *complete* and write $h\xrightarrow{G}_+h_s$ if h_s is *G-reduced* that is does not contain monomials multiple of some X^α.

In [13, Theorem 3.12] Gröbner bases are characterized in terms of reductions: there exists a term ordering such that G is a Gröbner basis w.r.t. it if and only if the G-reduction is Noetherian, that is every sequence of G-reductions terminates in a finite number of steps. Thus, if G is not a Gröbner basis, we can find some polynomial $h \in \mathcal{P}$ and some procedure of G-reduction of h that does not terminate in a finite number of steps. In the following example we exhibit a marked set G and a polynomial h such that h has no complete reductions that is such that every G-reduction of h does not terminate.

Example 8. Let us consider the set of marked polynomials $G = \{f_1 = xy + yz, f_2 = z^2 + xz\}$ with $\mathrm{In}(f_1) = xy$ and $\mathrm{In}(f_2) = z^2$. The only possible first step of G-reduction of the monomial $h = xyz$ is $xyz - zf_1 = -yz^2$. The only possible first step of G-reduction of $-yz^2$ is $-yz^2 + yf_2 = xyz$, which is again the initial monomial. Observe that the ideal generated by the initial monomials xy and z^2 is not strongly stable.

Now we will prove that the situation illustrated by the previous example is not allowed if we assume that the initial monomials of the elements of G generate a strongly stable ideal, and present an algorithm giving a complete G-reduction of every polynomial.

Definition 9. Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal with basis B_J and G be a set of marked polynomials:

$$G = \{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ / \ B_J = \{\mathrm{In}(f_\alpha) = X^\alpha\}\}. \tag{3}$$

We define the *G^*-reduction* of a polynomial h, denoted $h \xrightarrow{G^*} h_0$ as a special G-reduction which is a sequence of steps obtained in the following way. We proceed by induction on the degree m and assume that every polynomial g of degree $m-1$ either is in J-normal form or has a *complete G^*-reduction* $g \xrightarrow{G}_+ g_0$ that is a G^*-reduction to a J-normal form g_0. Assume that h has degree m and is not in J-normal form. Then we fix a monomial $X^\beta \in J$ that appears in h:

if $X^\beta = X^\alpha \in B_J$, we rewrite it using $f_\alpha \in G$;

if $X^\beta \notin B_J$, that is $X^\beta = X_i X^\delta$ for some $X^\delta \in J_{m-1}$, we rewrite it using $X_i g_0$ where $X^\delta \xrightarrow{G}_+ g_0$.

Theorem 10. *Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$ with monomial basis B_J and let G be as in (3). Then the G^*-reduction is Noetherian, that is every sequence of G^*-reductions of a polynomial h terminates in a finite number of steps leading to a J-normal form h_0.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that our assertion holds for the monomials. Let us consider the set E of monomials having some G^*-reduction that does not terminate. If $E \neq \emptyset$ and $X^\beta inE$, then of course $X^\beta \in J \setminus B_J$, because for every $X^\alpha \in B_J$, the only possible G^*-reduction is $X^\alpha \xrightarrow{G^*} \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma$ which is complete by hypothesis. Thus $X^\beta = X_i X^\delta$ for some $X^\delta \in J$: we choose X^β so that its degree m is the minimal in E and that, among the monomials of degree m in E, X_i is minimal with respect to $\prec_B$. The first step of G^*-reduction of $X_i X^\delta$ is $X_i X^\delta \xrightarrow{G^*} X_i g_0$, where the complete reduction $X^\delta \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ g_0$ exists because X^δ has degree $m-1$. Even if g_0 is a J-normal form, $X_i g_0$ does not need to be. If $X_i g_0$ contains a monomial $X_i X^\gamma = X_j X^\beta$ for some $X^\beta \in J$, then $X_j \prec_B X_i$ because J is strongly stable. By the minimality of X_i, every sequence of G^*-reductions on monomials of $X_i g_0$ terminates. This is a contradiction and so E is empty. □

Using the G^*-reductions we can generalize some properties of Gröbner bases.

Corollary 11. *Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$ with monomial basis B_J and let I be a homogeneous ideal that contains a J-set G as in (3). Then:*

i) *every polynomial h in $\mathcal{P}$ has a normal form modulo I as a sum of monomials in $\mathcal{N}(J)$ that can be obtained through a G^*-reduction.*

ii) *$\mathcal{N}(J)$ generates $\mathcal{P}/I$ as a k-vector space, so that* $\dim_k I_m \geq \dim_k J_m$ *for every $m \geq 0$.*

Proof. The first item is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 10: in fact at every step of G-reduction, and so especially of G^*-reduction, we add a linear combination of polynomials $f_\alpha \in G \subset I$. Then for every $h \in \mathcal{P}$, we can find $h \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ h_0$, where h_0 is a J-normal form and $h - h_0 \in I$.

The second item is an obvious consequence of the first one. □

The following result, which is again a direct consequence of the existence of a Noetherian reduction, clearly highlights the analogy between J-bases and Gröbner or border bases.

Corollary 12. *Let J be a strongly stable monomial ideal in $\mathcal{P}$ and let I be a homogeneous ideal that contains a J-set G. The following are equivalent:*

1. $I \in \mathcal{BS}t(J)$*;*
2. *G is a J-basis;*
3. *$\mathcal{N}(J)$ is free in $\mathcal{P}/I$;*
4. *I and J share the same Hilbert function, i.d. $\forall m$: $\dim_k I_m = \dim_k J_m$;*
5. *$\forall m$: $\dim_k I_m \leq \dim_k J_m$;*
6. *$\forall h \in I$: $h \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ 0$;*
7. *if h_0 is any J-normal form modulo I of a polynomial $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $h \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ h_0$;*
8. *if h_0 is any J-normal form modulo I of a monomial $X^\beta \in \mathcal{P}$, then $X^\beta \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ h_0$;*

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent by the definition itself of J-basis. (4) and (5) are equivalent to the previous ones by Corollary 11 ii). For (6) $\Leftrightarrow$ (7) $\Leftrightarrow$ (8) it is sufficient to observe that if h_0 is a sum of monomials in $\mathcal{N}(J)$, then $h \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ 0$ if and only if $h - h_0 \xrightarrow{G^*}_+ 0$.

Finally, to prove (3)$\Leftrightarrow$ (6) we observe that (3) says that the only normal form of an element of I is 0 and that, by Corollary 11 i), there is a G^*-reduction from every polynomial to one of its normal forms. $\square$

5. Detecting J-bases

In the following B_J will always denote the monomial basis of a strongly stable monomial ideal J and I the ideal generated by a set of marked polynomials G as in (3), i.e. generated by a J-set.

Now we will complete the analogy with the case of Gröbner bases, showing that one can check if I belongs to $\mathcal{BS}t(J)$ using a Buchberger-like criterion that is looking at G-reductions of S-polynomials. Mimicking the terminology of the Gröbner bases, we will call *S-polynomial* of $f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'} \in G$ the polynomial $S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'}) = X^\beta f_\alpha - X^{\beta'} f_{\alpha'}$, where $X^{\beta+\alpha} = X^{\beta'+\alpha'} = LCM(X^\alpha, X^{\alpha'})$.

We will reduce the S-polynomials using an algorithm of G-reduction, denoted by G^{**} because it is in fact a refinement of the G^*-reduction and whose definition requires some preliminaries.

In every degree m the vector space I_m is generated by the set of polynomials $W_m = \{X^\delta f_\alpha / \ X^{\delta+\alpha} \in J_m,\ X^\alpha \in B_J\}$. W_m becomes a set of marked polynomials and also a partially ordered set in the following way.

Definition 13. The *initial monomial* or *head* of $X^\delta f_\alpha$ is $\mathrm{In}(X^\delta f_\alpha) = X^{\delta+\alpha}$ and its *tail* is the difference $X^{\delta+\alpha} - X^\delta f_\alpha = \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^{\delta+\gamma}$. If $f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'} \in W_m$:

$$X^\delta f_\alpha > X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} \iff \text{the first non-zero entry in } \delta' - \delta \text{ is positive.} \tag{4}$$

Lemma 14. *Let X^β be any monomial in J_m and let W_β be the subset of W_m containing all the monomials with head X^β.*

Then W_β is totally ordered by $<$ and its minimum is the only element $X^\delta f_\alpha \in W_\beta$ such that either $X^\delta = 1$ or $\max(X^\delta) \leq \min(X^\alpha)$.

Proof. For the first assertion it is sufficient to observe that for every two different elements $X^\delta f_\alpha$, and $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$ in W_β the equality $X^\beta = X^{\alpha+\delta} = X^{\alpha'+\delta'}$ implies $\delta - \delta' \neq 0$. In fact if $\delta = \delta'$, then $X^\alpha = X^{\alpha'}$, hence by definition of G also $f_\alpha = f_{\alpha'}$. Thus, W_β has minimum because it is a finite, totally ordered set.

If $X^\delta = 1$, namely if $X^\beta = X^\alpha \in J_m$, then f_β is the only element in W_β because B_J is a monomial basis. So assume that $X^\delta \neq 1$ and let $X^\delta f_\alpha$ be an element in W_β such that $X_i = \max(X^\delta) > X_j = \min(X^\alpha)$. Now we verify that $X^\delta f_\alpha$ is not the minimum of W_β. Since J is Borel fixed, then $X_i X^\alpha / X_j \in J_m$. Hence W_β also contains $X^{\delta'+\eta} f_{\alpha'}$ where $X^{\delta'} = X_j X^\delta / X_i$ and $X_i X^\alpha / X_i = X^\eta X^{\alpha'}$. The first non-zero entry of $\delta' + \eta - \delta$ is positive because the same holds for $\delta' - \delta$. $\square$

Thanks to the previous lemma we can consider for every m the subset $V_m \subseteq W_m$ of the polynomials that are minimal with respect to $<$: note that V_m has $\dim_k(J_m)$ elements.

Definition 15. The G^{**}-reduction of a homogeneous polynomial h of degree m is a G-reduction that only uses the polynomials of V_m, that is in which at every step we rewrite a monomial of J_m by means of a polynomial of V_m.

Note that when $X^\delta \neq 1$, the monomials in the tail of $X^\delta f_\alpha$ do not need to belong to $\mathcal{N}(J)$.

Lemma 16. *In the above hypotheses and notation:*

a) *if* $X^\delta f_\alpha \in W_m$, $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} \in V_m$ *and* $X^{\delta'+\alpha'}$ *appears in the tail of* $X^\delta f_\alpha$*, then* $X^\delta f_\alpha > X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$*.*

b) *if* $X^{\eta_0}, X^{\eta_1} \dots, X^{\eta_s}$ *is a sequence of monomials in* J_m *such that* $X^{\eta_{i+1}}$ *appears in the tail of the polynomial* $g_{\eta_i} = \min(W_{\eta_i})$*, then the monomials* X^{η_i} *are all distinct;*

c) *every polynomial* h *has a* G^{**}*-reduction, unless it is a sum of monomials in* $\mathcal{N}(J)$*, hence* $h \xrightarrow{G^{**}}_+ h_0$ *only if* h_0 *is a* J*-normal form modulo* I *of* h*;*

d) *the* G^{**}*-reduction is Noetherian.*

Proof. a) Every monomial in the tail of $X^\delta f_\alpha$ is a multiple of X^δ, and so especially $X^{\delta'+\alpha'} = X^{\delta+\gamma}$ for some $X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)$. By Lemma 14, the first non-zero entry in $\delta' - \delta$ is positive and so $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} < X^\delta f_\alpha$.

b) As a consequence of a) we have $g_{\eta_1} > g_{\eta_2} > \cdots > g_{\eta_s}$ so that the g_{η_i} are all distinct. Thus also their heads X^{η_1} are distinct because each g_{η_i} is the minimum in W_{η_i}.

For c) it is sufficient to observe that, by construction, every monomial X^η in J_m is the head of one and only one polynomial g_η in V_m and so $X^\eta \xrightarrow{G^{**}} X^\eta - g_\eta$.

d) is a consequence of c) and b). In fact the length of every sequence of monomials as in b) is bounded by the number of monomials of degree m, while from a sequence of infinitely many steps of G^{**}-reduction we could obtain sequences of monomials as in b) as long as we want. □

Now we are able to prove the *Buchberger-like criterion for* J*-bases.*

Theorem 17. *Let* J *be a strongly stable monomial ideal in* $\mathcal{P}$ *with monomial basis* B_J *and let* I *be a homogeneous ideal generated by a* J*-set* G *as in (3). Then:*

$$I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J) \Longleftrightarrow \forall f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'} \in G : \ S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'}) \xrightarrow{G^{**}}_+ 0.$$

Proof. Due to Lemma 16, every polynomial h has a complete G^{**}-reduction to a J-normal form modulo I. Moreover, if $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ the normal form is unique (Theorem 11) and the unique normal form of every polynomial in I, as the S-polynomials are, is 0.

For the converse, we use "(1) ⇔ (5)" in Corollary 12 and prove that, for every m, the k-vector space I_m is generated by the $\dim_k(J_m)$ elements of V_m. More precisely we will show that every polynomial $X^\delta f_\alpha \in W_m$ either belongs to V_m or is a linear combination of elements of V_m lower than $X^\delta f_\alpha$ itself. We may assume that the same holds for every polynomial in W_m lower than $X^\delta f_\alpha$.

If $X^\delta f_\alpha \in V_m$ there is nothing to prove. On the other hand, let $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} = \min(W_{\delta+\alpha}) \in V_m$ and consider $X^\delta f_\alpha - X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$. If this difference is the S-polynomial $S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'})$, by the hypothesis it has a complete G^{**}-reduced to 0, hence it is a k-linear combination $\sum z_i g_{\eta_i}$ of polynomials $g_{\eta_i} V_m$ with constant coefficients $z_i \in k$. Thanks to Lemma 16 a), we have for each i either $X^\delta f_\alpha > g_{\eta_i}$ or $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} > g_{\eta_i}$ and so again $X^\delta f_\alpha > g_{\eta_i}$ as $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} \in V_m$. Thus $X^\delta f_\alpha$ is a linear combination $X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} + \sum z_i g_{\eta_i}$ of elements in V_m lower than it.

If $X^\delta f_\alpha - X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'} = X^\beta S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'}) = X^\beta (X^\eta f_\alpha - X^{\eta'} f_{\alpha'})$ for some $X^\beta \neq 1$, we can apply the previous case to $S(f_\alpha, f_{\alpha'})$ and say that $X^\eta f_\alpha$ is a sum $X^{\eta'} f_{\alpha'} + \sum z_i g_{\eta_i}$ of polynomials in $V_{m-|\beta|}$ lower than $X^\eta f_\alpha$. Hence $X^\delta f_\alpha = X^{\beta+\eta'} f_{\alpha'} + \sum z_i X^{\beta'} g_{\eta_i}$. The polynomials $X^{\beta+\eta'} f_{\alpha'}$ and $X^{\beta'} g_{\eta_i}$ appearing in the right hand are lower that $X^\delta f_\alpha$. So we can apply to them the inductive hypothesis and say that either they are elements of V_m or they are linear combinations of lower elements in V_m. This allows us to conclude. □

Remark 18. In the proof of "$\Longleftarrow$" of the previous theorem we do not use in fact the whole hypothesis, that is the existence of a G^{**}-reduction for all the S-polynomials $X^\delta f_\alpha - X^{\delta'} f_{\alpha'}$ of elements in G, but only for those such that either f_α or $f_{\alpha'}$ belongs to some V_m. In the case of Gröbner basis, this property is analogous to the improved Buchberger algorithm that only considers S-polynomials corresponding to a set of generators for the syzygies of J.

Thus we can improve Corollary 12 and say that, in the same hypotheses:

$$I \in BSt(J) \iff \forall m \leq m_0 : \dim_k I_m = \dim_k J_m \iff \forall m \leq m_0 : \dim_k I_m \leq \dim_k J_m$$

where m_0 is the maximal degree of S-polynomials of the above special type.

Moreover, to prove that $\dim_k I_m = \dim_k J_m$ for some m it is sufficient to assume that there exists a G^{**}-reduction to 0 of the S-polynomials of degree $\leq m$.

Example 19. Let $J = (x^2, xy, xz, y^2) \subset k[x, y, z]$, where $x > y > z$ and consider a J-set $G = \{f_{x^2}, f_{xy}, f_{xz}, f_{y^2}\}$. In order to check whether G is a J-basis it is sufficient to verify if $S(f_{x^2}, f_{xy})$, $S(f_{x^2}, f_{xz})$, $S(f_{x^2}, f_{y^2})$, $S(f_{xy}, f_{xz})$ and $S(f_{xy}, f_{y^2})$ have G^{**}-reductions to 0, but it is not necessary to controll $S(f_{xz}, f_{y^2})$ because the element in V_3 whose head is xy^2z is yzf_{xy}.

Example 20. Let us consider again, as in Example 6, the strongly stable ideals $J = (x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^5)_{\geq 5}$ in $k[x, y, z]$ and the marked set $G = B_J \cup \{f\} \setminus \{xy^2z^2\}$, where $f = xy^2z^2 - y^4z - x^2z^3$ and $\mathrm{In}(f) = xy^2z^2$. We have already proved that G is not a Gröbner basis with respect to any term ordering. However, it is a J-basis as we can verify using the Buchberger like criterion proved in Theorem 17. The S-polynomials non involving f vanish and all the S-polynomials involving f are multiple of either $x \cdot (y^4z + x^2z^3)$ or $y \cdot (y^4z + x^2z^3)$. Since $y^4z \cdot x$, $y^4z \cdot y$, $x^2z^3 \cdot x$, $x^2z^3 \cdot y$ belong to $B_J \setminus \{xy^2z^2\}$ the G^{**}-reduction of all the S-polynomials is 0. Notice that the G^{**}-reduction of $x^2y^2z^3$ is 0, because $z^2 \cdot x^2y^2z \in V_7$, while $xzf \notin V_7$. A different choice of G-reduction gives the loop:

$$x^2y^2z^3 \xrightarrow{f} xy^4z^2 + x^3z^4 \xrightarrow{x^3z^2} xy^4z^2 \xrightarrow{f} y^6z + x^2y^2z^3 \xrightarrow{y^5} x^2y^2z^3$$

6. The stratum of J as an homogeneous affine scheme

In this last section, using the characterizations of J-bases obtained in the previous ones, we finally prove that the family $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ can be endowed in a natural way of a structure of affine scheme and that it turns out to be homogeneous with respect to a grading over $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Our construction generalizes that of the Gröbner stratum $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ given in [1], [9], [12], [4] and [6]. Especially as in [6] we obtain equations giving the affine structure in two equivalent ways, that is using either reductions (i.e. the Buchberger criterion) or the rank of some matrices.

The main difference between the cases of $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ and $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is that in the first one J is any monomial ideal, but we need to fix a term ordering, while in the second one we do not need any term ordering, but J is assumed to be strongly stable.

Let us fix any strongly stable monomial ideal J in $\mathcal{P} = k[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ and let B_J be its monomial basis. Summarizing what proved in the first part of this paper, every ideal $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ has a unique set of generators of the type (3), that is a set of marked polynomials of the type:

$$G = \{f_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum c_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ / \ \mathrm{In}(f_\alpha) = X^\alpha \in B_J, \ X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)_{|\alpha|}\}.$$

We give an explicit description of the family $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ using this special set of generators. Let us consider a new variable $C_{\alpha\gamma}$ for every monomial X^α in B_J and for every monomial $X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)$ of the same degree as X^α. We denote by C the set of these new variables and by N their number.

Moreover, let us consider the set of marked polynomials :

$$\mathcal{G} = \{F_\alpha = X^\alpha - \sum C_{\alpha\gamma} X^\gamma \ / \ \mathrm{In}(F_\alpha) = X^\alpha \in B_J, \ X^\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(J)_{|\alpha|}\}. \tag{5}$$

We can obtain the J-basis of every ideal $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ specializing (in a unique way) the variables C in k^N, but not every specialization gives rise to an ideal in $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$. Thanks to Corollary 12, a specialization $C \mapsto c \in k^N$ transforms $\mathcal{G}$ in a J-basis that generates an ideal $I \in B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ if and only if $\dim_k I_m \leq \dim_k J_m$ for every $m \geq 0$. This condition realizes $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ as an affine subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^N$.

We can then consider for each m the matrix A_m whose columns correspond to the degree m monomials in $\mathcal{P} = k[X]$ and whose rows contain the coefficients of those monomials in every polynomial of the type $X^\beta F_\alpha$ such that $|\beta + \alpha| = m$: every entry in A_m is either 0, 1 or one of the variables C.

We will denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the ideal of $k[C]$ generated by all the minors of A_m of order $\dim_k(J_m) + 1$ for every $m \geq 0$.

We can also consider the S-polynomials $S(F_\alpha, F_{\alpha'})$ of elements in $\mathcal{G}$ and their $\mathcal{G}^{**}$-complete reductions $H_{\alpha,\alpha'}$. Then we collect $H_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ with respect to the variables X and extract their coefficients that are polynomials in $k[C]$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the ideal in $k[C]$ generated by the set of these coefficients. Moreover we will also denote by $\mathfrak{R}'$ the ideal obtained in this same way, but only considering S-polynomials $S(F_\alpha, F_{\alpha'}) = X^\delta F_\alpha - X^{\delta'} F_{\alpha'}$ such that $X^\delta F_\alpha$ is minimal among those with head $X^{\delta+\alpha}$.

We finally get the main purpose of the paper, that is we define the algebraic structure of the stratum of J and prove some general properties.

Definition 21. In the above settings the stratum $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ of J is the subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^N$ defined by the ideal $\mathfrak{A}$.

Theorem 22. *Let J be a strongly stable ideal in $\mathcal{P}$. In the above hypotheses and notation:*

1) *there is an $1-1$ correspondence between the closed points of $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$and the ideals $I \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(J)$ is a basis of the k-vector space $\mathcal{P}/I$. One of the closed points of $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is the origin, which corresponds to the ideal J itself.*

2 *$B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is homogeneous with respect to a non-standard grading λ of $k[C]$ over the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ given by $\lambda(C_{\alpha\gamma}) = \alpha - \gamma$.*

3 *$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}'$, hence $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ can also be defined using the Buchberger like criterion about $\mathcal{G}^{**}$-reductions of S-polynomials of elements in $\mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. 1) is a consequence of what proved in the previous sections.

To prove that $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is λ-homogeneous it is sufficient to show that every minor of A_m is λ-homogeneous. Let us denote by $C_{\alpha\alpha}$ the coefficient ($=1$) of X^α in every polynomial F_α: we can apply also to the "symbol" $C_{\alpha\alpha}$ the definition of λ-degree of the variables $C_{\alpha\gamma}$, because $\alpha - \alpha = 0$ is indeed the λ-degree of the constant 1. In this way, the entry in the row $X^\beta F_\alpha$ and in the column X^δ is $\pm C_{\alpha\gamma}$ if $X^\delta = X^\beta X^\gamma$ and is 0 otherwise.

Let us consider in a matrix A_m the minor corresponding to some rows $X^{\beta_i} F_{\alpha_i}$ and to some columns X^{δ_j}, $i, j = 1, \ldots, s$. Every monomial that appears in such a minor is of the type $\prod_{i=1}^s C_{\alpha_i \gamma_{j_i}}$ where $\{j_1, \ldots, j_s\} = \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $X^{\delta_{j_i}} = X^{\beta_i} X^{\gamma_{j_i}}$. Then its degree is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i - \gamma_{j_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i - \delta_{j_i} + \beta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \delta_j$$

which only depends on the minor.

3) Let $a_m = dim_k J_m$. We consider in A_m the $a_m \times a_m$ submatrix whose columns corresponds to the minors in J_m and whose columns are given by the polynomials $X^\beta F_\alpha$ that are minimal with respect to the partial order given in Definition 13. Up to a permutation this submatrix is upper-triangular with 1 on the main diagonal. We may also assume that it corresponds to the first a_m rows and columns in A_m. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by the determinants of $a_m + 1 \times a_m + 1$ sub-matrices containing that above considered. Moreover the Gaussian row-reduction of A_m with respect to the first a_m rows is nothing else than the $\mathcal{G}^{**}$-reduction of the S-polynomials of the special type considered defining $\mathfrak{R}'$. □

If we fix a term ordering $\prec$, we can obtain the variety $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ (parameterizing all the homogeneous ideal such that $\mathrm{In}_\prec(I) = J$) as the section of $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ with the linear subspace L given by the ideal $(c_{\alpha\gamma} \ / \ X^\alpha \prec X^\gamma) \subset k[C]$. If for every $m \leq m_0$ (m_0 as in the Remark 18) J_m is a $\prec$-segment (i.e. it is generated by the highest $\dim_k J_m$ monomials with respect to $\prec$), then $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ and $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ are isomorphic as affine schemes. In fact we can obtain both varieties using the same kind of construction. The only difference between the two cases is due to the set of monomials that can appear in the tails of the polynomials F_α: every monomial in $\mathcal{N}(J)$ of the same degree as X^α for $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, only those that are $\prec$-lower than X^α for $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$.

For some strongly stable ideals J we can find a suitable term ordering such that $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec) = B\mathcal{S}t(J)$, but there are cases in which $\bigcup_\prec \mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec) \subsetneq B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ (Example 23).

The existence of a term ordering such that $B\mathcal{S}t(J) = \mathcal{S}t_h(J, \preceq)$ has interesting consequences on the geometrical features of the stratum. In fact the λ-grading on $k[C]$ is positive if and only if such a term ordering exists. In this case we can isomorphically project $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec) = B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ in the Zariski tangent space at the origin (see [4]). As a consequence of this projection we can prove for instance that the stratum is always connected; moreover it is isomorphic to an affine space, provided the origin is a smooth point. If for a given ideal J such a term ordering does not exist, then in general we cannot embed the stratum in the Zariski tangent space at the origin (Example 23). However we do not know examples of Borel ideals J such that either $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ has more than one connected component or J is smooth and $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is not rational.

Example 23. Let J be the strongly stable ideal $(x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^5)_{\geq 5}$ in $k[x, y, z]$ (where we assume $x > y > z$). For every term ordering we can find in degree 5 a monomial in J lower than a monomial in $\mathcal{N}(J)$, because $xy^2z^2 \succ x^2z^3$ and $xy^2z^2 \succ y^4z$ would be in contradiction with the equality $(xy^2z^2)^2 = x^2z^3 \cdot y^4z$. The stratum $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is isomorphic to an open subset of the Hilbert scheme of 8 points in the projective plane, which is, as well know, irreducible of dimension 16 (see [11]). It contains all the Gröbner strata $\mathcal{S}t_h(J, \prec)$ for every $\prec$ and also some more point, for instance the one corresponding to the ideal I of Example 20. Computing (using some computer system tool) the Zariski tangent space to $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ at the origin T, one can see that it has dimension 16, and so J corresponds to a smooth point on it. However $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ cannot be isomorphically projected on T, but only on a linear space $T' \simeq \mathbb{A}^{18}$ containing T. In this minimal embedding, $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ is realized as an affine subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^{18}$, complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degrees 6 and 7:

$F := c_{11}c_{13}c_{12}^2 - c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}^2 + c_{11}c_{13}^2c_5 + c_{13}^2c_8c_6 - c_{13}^2c_{12}c_6 + c_{13}c_{11}c_{15} - c_{13}^2c_{11}c_9 + c_{11}^2c_{13}^2c_8^2 + c_{18}c_{12}c_{11}^2 - 2c_{10}c_{11}c_{18} + c_8c_{11}^2c_{18} - c_8^2 - c_{11}c_{16}c_{13}^3c_6 + c_{13}c_{18}c_{11}^3c_{16} + c_{10}c_{13}^3c_6 - c_{10}c_{13}c_8 - c_{11}c_{10}c_{13}^2c_8 + c_{13}c_{18}c_{12}c_{11}^3 - c_{11}c_6c_{12}c_{13}^3 + c_{13}^2c_6c_{16} + c_{18}c_{11}^2c_{16} + c_{11}c_7c_{13}^2 + c_{13}c_5 - c_{11}c_6c_{13}^3c_8 + c_{12}c_8 + (-1 - 2c_{11}c_{13})c_1 + c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{17} + c_{11}^2c_8c_{12}c_{13}^2 - c_6c_{18} - c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}c_{12} - 2c_{13}c_{10}c_{11}^2c_{18} + c_{13}c_8c_{11}^3c_{18} - c_7c_{13} + 2c_{13}^2c_{10}c_{11}c_{16} + 2c_{11}c_8c_{12}c_{13} + c_{13}c_9 - c_{13}^2c_{10}^2 - c_{13}^3c_3$

$G := c_{16}c_{13}c_5 + c_{16}c_{13}c_9 + c_8c_6c_{18} - c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}^3 - c_{16}c_7c_{13} + c_{16}c_{12}c_8 - c_{16}c_{13}^2c_{10}^2 - c_8c_{13}c_5 + c_{13}^2c_6c_{16}^2 - c_8c_{13}c_9 - 2c_8^2c_{11}^2c_{18} - c_{12}c_{13}c_5 - c_{11}^2c_{13}^2c_8^3 + c_{10}c_{13}c_8^2 + c_8c_{13}^2c_{10}^2 - c_{16}c_6c_{18} + c_{18}c_{11}^2c_{16}^2 - c_6^2c_{13}^4c_8 + c_{12}c_6c_{18} + c_{11}c_{18}c_9 + c_{12}c_7c_{13} - c_{13}^2c_7c_{10} - c_{16}c_8^2 - c_{13}c_{11}c_{17}c_{10} + c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{17}c_{12} - c_{13}^4c_{16}c_6^2 - c_{12}c_{13}^4c_6^2 - c_6c_{18}^2c_{11}^2 + c_8c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}^2 + c_8c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{17} + c_{11}c_8c_{18}c_{10} + c_{13}c_6c_{18}c_{10} - c_{11}c_{17}c_{13}^2c_6 + c_{12}c_{11}c_{13}^2c_5 + c_{16}c_{11}c_7c_{13}^2 - 2c_{12}^2c_{13}^2c_6 - c_{11}c_{18}c_5 + c_{15}c_{11}^2c_{18} - c_{11}c_{17}c_8 - c_{13}^2c_8^2c_6 + c_{11}^3c_{17}c_{18} - c_{16}c_{10}c_{13}c_8 - c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_9 - c_{12}^2c_{11}c_{13}^3c_6 + 2c_{13}^2c_9c_{10} + c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_7 + c_{16}c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{17} + (2c_{13}^2c_6 - c_{16} - 3c_{11}^2c_{18} + c_8)c_1 - c_{13}c_{11}^3c_{18}c_{16}^2 - c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}c_{12}^2 + c_8c_{18}c_{12}c_{11}^2 + c_7c_{11}c_{13}^2c_8 - c_{13}c_{10}^2c_{11}c_{18} - 2c_{11}c_{16}c_{13}^2c_9 - 2c_{11}c_{16}^2c_{13}^3c_6 - 2c_{11}c_8^2c_{12}c_{13} + c_{13}c_{11}^3c_{12}^2c_{18} + 2c_{12}c_{10}c_{11}c_{18} - 3c_{11}c_{18}c_{13}^2c_3 - c_{16}c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_6c_{18} - c_{12}c_{13}^2c_{11}c_9 + c_{11}^2c_{12}^2c_8c_{13}^2 + c_{13}c_{18}c_3 - 3c_{16}c_{11}c_6c_{12}c_{13}^3 + c_{12}c_{10}c_{13}^3c_6 + 3c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_5 - c_{15}c_{13}^2c_6 + 2c_8^2c_{11}c_{13}^3c_6 + c_8c_{11}c_6c_{12}c_{13}^3 - 3c_{13}c_{11}c_{18}c_{12}c_6 + c_{16}c_{11}^2c_{13}^2c_8^2 - c_{13}^2c_8c_{10}c_{12}c_{11} + 2c_{11}^4c_{18}^2c_{12} + 2c_{13}c_{10}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_{16} + 2c_{12}c_{13}^2c_{10}c_{11}c_{16} + (-1 + 2c_{13}c_{11})c_2 - 2c_{13}^3c_9c_6 - c_{13}^2c_8c_{11}^2c_6c_{18} + c_{13}c_{11}c_{18}c_6c_{16} - 2c_{16}c_{10}c_{11}c_{18} + 2c_8c_{13}^2c_{11}^2c_{16}c_{12} + c_{10}c_{11}c_{18}c_{13}^2c_6 - c_{12}c_{13}^3c_3 - 2c_{11}c_{16}c_{13}^2c_8c_{10} + c_8^3 - c_{12}^2c_8 - c_{13}c_{11}c_{14} + c_{11}c_6c_{18}c_{13}c_8 + c_7c_{11}c_{12}c_{13}^2 + c_{12}c_{10}c_{13}c_8 + 2c_{11}^4c_{18}^2c_{16} - c_{13}c_8c_{11}^2c_{10}c_{18} - 2c_{16}^2c_{12}c_{11}^2c_{13}^2 + c_{13}c_8^2c_{11}^3c_{18} + 2c_{13}c_{12}c_8c_{11}^3c_{18} + 2c_{11}^4c_{18}^2c_8 - c_{12}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_{13}^2c_6 - 4c_{11}^3c_{18}^2c_{10} + c_{16}c_{13}c_{11}c_{15} + c_4c_{13}^2 + 2c_8c_{11}c_{13}^2c_9 - c_6c_7c_{13}^3 - c_{13}c_{12}c_9 - 2c_{13}c_{11}^2c_{18}c_{10}c_{12} + 2c_{16}c_{10}c_{13}^3c_6 + 2c_{13}^2c_{10}c_{11}c_{16}^2$.

A MAPLE session with an explicit computation of equations defining $B\mathcal{S}t(J)$ in its minimal embedding can be found at: `http://www2.dm.unito.it/paginepersonali/roggero/Stratum1/`

REFERENCES

[1] Giuseppa Carrà Ferro. Gröbner bases and Hilbert schemes. I. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(2-3):219–230, 1988. Computational aspects of commutative algebra.

[2] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O'Shea. *Using algebraic geometry*, volume 185 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2005.

[3] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.

[4] Giorgio Ferrarese and Margherita Roggero. Homogeneous varieties for Hilbert schemes. *Int. J. Algebra*, 3(9-12):547–557, 2009.

[5] Mark L. Green. Generic initial ideals. In *Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, volume 166 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 119–186. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[6] Paolo Lella and Margherita Roggero. Rational components of Hilbert schemes. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1029, 2009. Preprint.

[7] Teo Mora and Lorenzo Robbiano. The Gröbner fan of an ideal. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(2-3):183–208, 1988. Computational aspects of commutative algebra.

[8] B. Mourrain. A new criterion for normal form algorithms. In *Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes (Honolulu, HI, 1999)*, volume 1719 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 430–443. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[9] R. Notari and M. L. Spreafico. A stratification of Hilbert schemes by initial ideals and applications. *Manuscripta Math.*, 101(4):429–448, 2000.

[10] L. Robbiano. On border basis and Gröbner basis schemes. *Collect. Math.*, 60(1):11–25, 2009.

[11] Margherita Roggero. Borel open covering of Hilbert schemes. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2184, 2009. Preprint.

[12] Margherita Roggero and Lea Terracini. Ideals with an assigned initial ideal. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3877, 2008. Preprint.

[13] Bernd Sturmfels. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, volume 8 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

MARGHERITA ROGGERO, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO (ITALY)
E-mail address: `mailto:margherita.roggero@unito.it`
URL: `http://www2.dm.unito.it/paginepersonali/roggero/`